

**SCHEDULE A : MONITOR'S MOTION  
FOR DIRECTIONS**

CANADA

PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC  
DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL

N°: 500-11-048114-157

**SUPERIOR COURT**

Commercial Division

(Sitting as a court designated pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C., c. C-36, as amended)

**IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR  
ARRANGEMENT OF:**

**BLOOM LAKE GENERAL PARTNER LIMITED**

**QUINTO MINING CORPORATION**

**8568391 CANADA LIMITED**

**CLIFFS QUÉBEC IRON MINING ULC**

**WABUSH IRON CO. LIMITED**

**WABUSH RESOURCES INC.**

Petitioners

-and-

**THE BLOOM LAKE IRON ORE MINE LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP**

**BLOOM LAKE RAILWAY COMPANY LIMITED**

**WABUSH MINES**

**ARNAUD RAILWAY COMPANY**

**WABUSH LAKE RAILWAY COMPANY LIMITED**

Mises-en-cause

-and-

**HER MAJESTY IN RIGHT OF NEWFOUNDLAND  
& LABRADOR, AS REPRESENTED BY THE  
SUPERINTENDENT OF PENSIONS**

**THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, ACTING ON  
BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT  
OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

**MICHAEL KEEPER, TERENCE WATT, DAMIEN LABEL  
AND NEIL JOHNSON**

**UNITED STEEL WORKERS, LOCALS 6254 AND 6285**

**RETRAITE QUÉBEC**

**MORNEAU SHEPELL LTD., IN ITS CAPACITY AS  
REPLACEMENT PENSION PLAN ADMINISTRATOR**

Mis-en-cause

-and-

**FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.**

Monitor

---

**AMENDED MOTION BY THE MONITOR FOR DIRECTIONS  
WITH RESPECT TO PENSION CLAIMS**

(Sections 11 and 23(k) of the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act*)

---

**TO MR. JUSTICE STEPHEN W. HAMILTON, J.S.C. OR TO ONE OF THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT, SITTING IN THE COMMERCIAL DIVISION FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL, THE MONITOR SUBMITS:**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. On January 27, 2015, the Honourable Justice Martin Castonguay, J.S.C., issued an Order (as subsequently amended, rectified and/or restated, the **Bloom Lake Initial Order**) pursuant to the *Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act* (**CCAA**) in respect of the Petitioners Bloom Lake General Partner Limited, Quinto Mining Corporation, 8568391 Canada Limited, and Cliffs Québec Iron Mining ULC (**CQIM**), as well as Mises-en-cause The Bloom Lake Iron Ore Mine Limited Partnership and Bloom Lake Railway Company Limited (collectively, the **Bloom Lake CCAA Parties**), as appears from the Court record;
2. Pursuant to the Bloom Lake Initial Order, *inter alia*, FTI Consulting Canada Inc. was appointed as monitor of the Bloom Lake CCAA Parties (the **Monitor**), and a stay of proceedings was granted in respect of the Bloom Lake CCAA Parties until February 26, 2015 (subsequently extended from time to time, and most recently until September 30, 2016 by Order dated April 20, 2016);
3. On May 20, 2015, the Honourable Justice Stephen W. Hamilton, J.S.C., issued an Order (as subsequently amended, rectified and/or restated, the **Wabush Initial Order**) extending the scope of these CCAA proceedings to the Petitioners Wabush Iron Co. Limited (**Wabush Iron**) and Wabush Resources Inc. (**Wabush Resources**), as well as Mises-en-cause Wabush Mines, an unincorporated contractual joint venture (**Wabush Mines**), Arnaud Railway Company (**Arnaud Railway**), and Wabush Lake Railway Company Limited (**Wabush Railway**) (collectively, the **Wabush CCAA Parties**, and together with the Bloom Lake CCAA Parties, the **CCAA Parties**), as appears from the Court record. For ease of reference a copy of the Wabush Initial Order dated May 20, 2015, as rectified on May 28, 2015, is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-1**;
4. Pursuant to the Wabush Initial Order (R-1), *inter alia*, the Monitor was appointed as the monitor of the Wabush CCAA Parties, and a stay of proceedings was granted in respect of the Wabush CCAA Parties until June 19, 2015 (subsequently extended from time to time, and most recently until September 30, 2016 by Order dated April 20, 2016);
5. On November 5, 2015, the Honourable Justice Stephen W. Hamilton, J.S.C., issued an order (as amended on November 16, 2015, the **Claims Procedure Order**), which approved and established a procedure for the filing of creditors' claims against the CCAA Parties and their directors and officers (the **Claims Procedure**), as appears from the Claims Procedure Order, a copy of which is communicated in support herewith for ease of reference as **Exhibit R-2**;

6. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meaning ascribed thereto in the Claims Procedure Order (R-2);
7. Both the Bloom Lake Initial Order and the Wabush Initial Order provide that the Monitor assist the CCAA Parties in dealing with their creditors over the course of the Stay Period, and declare that the Monitor may apply to the Court for directions as becomes necessary in discharging its duties, the whole as appears from, *inter alia*, paragraphs 39 and 65 the Wabush Initial Order (R-1);
8. Moreover, paragraphs 61 and 68 of the Claims Procedure Order (R-2) entitle the Monitor to apply to the Court for advice and directions in connection with the discharge or variation of its powers and duties thereunder;
9. The Monitor hereby applies for directions with respect to the priority of Pension Claims filed by the Plan Administrator pursuant to the Claims Procedure Order (R-2), and the applicability and scope of deemed trusts, if any, under the *Pension Benefits Standards Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. 32 (2<sup>nd</sup> Supp.) (**PBSA**) and the *Newfoundland & Labrador Pension Benefits Act*, S.N.L. 1996, c. P-4.01 (**PBA**) as well as the Québec Supplemental Pension Plans Act, R.L.R.Q., c. R-15.1 (**SPPA**), the whole as more fully set out below;
10. Specifically, the Monitor is asking the Court to issue an Order in the form of the draft Order communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-3** with respect to the priority of the various components of the Salaried DB Plan Claim and the Union DB Plan Claim (each as defined herein below);

## II. OVERVIEW OF WABUSH CCAA PROCEEDINGS

11. As stated in paragraphs 16 to 19 and 21 of the *Motion for the Issuance of an Initial Order* of the Wabush CCAA Parties dated May 19, 2015 (the **Wabush Initial Motion**), a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-4**, there were no operations as of the date of the Wabush Initial Order at either the Wabush Pointe-Noire pellet plant (the **Pointe-Noire Plant**) or the Wabush Mine (as defined in the Wabush Initial Motion);
12. The Pointe-Noire Plant had been shut down in June 2013, while the Wabush Mine was shut down in the first quarter of 2014, and substantially all of the employees at both sites had been terminated or laid off prior to the issuance of the Wabush Initial Order, as stated in paragraphs 37 and 38 and 87 to 96 of the Wabush Initial Motion (R-4);
13. The Wabush Initial Order (R-1) provided for *inter alia*:
  - a) The creation of non-priming charges, including an Administration Charge for an aggregate amount of \$1,750,000, a Directors' Charge for an aggregate amount of \$2,000,000, and an Interim Lender Charge for an aggregate amount of \$15,000,000 (each as defined in the Wabush Initial Order, and collectively referred to as the **CCAA Charges**);
  - b) The permission, but no requirement, for the Wabush CCAA Parties to pay normal cost pension contributions payable on or after the date thereof as follows:

[12] **ORDERS** that the Wabush CCAA Parties shall be entitled but not required to pay the following expenses whether incurred prior to or after this Order:

(a) all outstanding and future wages, salaries, bonuses, employee and current service pension contributions, expenses, benefits, vacation pay and termination and severance obligations payable on or after the date of this Order, in each case incurred in the ordinary course of business and consistent with existing compensation policies and arrangements; [...] [Emphasis added]

14. On June 9, 2015, the Court issued an order with respect to the Wabush CCAA Parties (the **Wabush Comeback Order**), a copy of which is communicated herewith for ease of reference as **Exhibit R-5**, which provided for *inter alia*:

- a) The approval on a *nunc pro tunc* basis of the **SISP** (as defined therein) with respect to the Wabush CCAA Parties;
- b) The creation of the **Sale Advisor Charge** (as defined in paragraph 16 thereof);
- c) The priority status of the CCAA Charges and the Sale Advisor Charge, to rank ahead of all Encumbrances (as defined therein), subject to the rights of the various parties having objected to the priming of the Interim Lender Charge;
- d) The adjournment to June 22, 2015 of the debate as to both the proposed priority of the Interim Lender Charge and the suspension by the Wabush CCAA Parties of its special payments to the DB Plans (as defined below), as follows:

[5] **ORDERS** that paragraph 47 of the Wabush Initial Order shall be amended as follows:

[47] **DECLARES** that each of the CCAA Charges shall rank ahead of all hypothecs, mortgages, liens, security interests, priorities, trusts, deemed trusts (statutory or otherwise), charges, encumbrances or security of whatever nature or kind (collectively, the "**Encumbrances**") [...] affecting the Property of the Wabush CCAA Parties whether or not charged by such Encumbrances [...], with the exception of the Crown deemed trusts for sources deductions described in Section 37(2) CCAA and the sums that could be subject to a claim under Section 38(3) CCAA. For greater certainty, the CCAA Charges only extend to assets or rights against assets over which the Wabush CCAA Parties hold or acquire title and the Interim Lender's Charge is subject to the Permitted Priority Liens (as defined in the Interim Financing Term Sheet). [underlining in the original]

[6] **RESERVES** the rights of Her Majesty in right of Newfoundland and Labrador, as represented by the Superintendent of Pensions, the Syndicat des Métallos, Section Locale 6254, the Syndicat des Métallos, Section 6285 and the Attorney General of Canada to contest the priority of the Interim Lender Charge over the deemed trust(s) as set out in the Notices of Objection filed by each of those parties in response to the Motion, which shall be heard and determined at the hearing scheduled on June 22, 2015. [Emphasis added.]

[...]

[21] **ORDERS** the request by the Wabush CCAA Parties for an order for the suspension of payment by the Wabush CCAA Parties of the monthly amortization payments coming due pursuant to the Contributory Pension Plan for Salaried Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company and the Pension Plan for Bargaining Unit Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company, *nunc pro tunc* to the Wabush Filing Date is adjourned to June 22, 2015; [Emphasis added.]

[22] **ORDERS** the request by the Wabush CCAA Parties for an order for the suspension of payment by the Wabush CCAA Parties of the annual lump sum "catch-up" payments coming due pursuant to the Contributory Pension Plan for Salaried Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company and the Pension Plan for Bargaining Unit Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company, nunc pro tunc to the Wabush Filing Date is adjourned to June 22, 2015; [Emphasis added.]

the whole as it appears from the Wabush Comeback Order (R-5);

15. A copy of the *Motion for the Issuance of an order in respect of the Wabush CCAA parties (1) granting priority to certain CCAA charges, (2) approving a Sale and Investor Solicitation Process nunc pro tunc, (3) authorizing the engagement of a Sale Advisor nunc pro tunc, (4) granting a Sale Advisor Charge, (5) amending the Sale and Investor Solicitation Process, (6) suspending the payment of certain pension amortization payments and post-retirement employee benefits, (7) extending the stay of proceedings, (8) amending the Wabush Initial Order accordingly* of the Wabush CCAA Parties dated May 29, 2015 (the **Wabush Comeback Motion**), which led to the Wabush Comeback Order (R-5), is also communicated herewith for ease of reference as **Exhibit R-6**;
16. By way of judgment dated June 26, 2015, the Court rendered Orders with respect to the priority of the Interim Lender Charge and the suspension of payment of monthly and annual lump sum "catch-up" payments (the **Pension Priority and Suspension Order**), as follows:

[143] [...] **CONFIRMS** the priority of the Interim Lender Charge over deemed trusts, as set out in paragraph 47 of the Wabush Initial Order, as amended on June 9, 2015;

[144] **ORDERS** the suspension of payment by the Wabush CCAA Parties of the monthly amortization payments coming due pursuant to the Contributory Pension Plan for Salaried Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company and the Pension Plan for Bargaining Unit Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company, nunc pro tunc to the Wabush Filing Date;

[145] **ORDERS** the suspension of payment by the Wabush CCAA parties of the annual lump sum "catch-up" payments coming due pursuant to the Contributory Pension Plan for Salaried Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company and the Pension Plan for Bargaining Unit Employees of Wabush Mines, CMC, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company, nunc pro tunc to the Wabush Filing Date; [Emphasis added.]

the whole as it appears from the Pension Priority and Suspension Order, a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-7**;

17. Motion for leave to appeal the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7) was dismissed by the Court of Appeal on August 18, 2015, as appears from the judgment of the Honourable Nicholas Kasirer, J.C.A., a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-8**;

18. On February 1, 2016, the Court issued Approval and Vesting Orders with respect to:
- a) An Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of December 23, 2015, a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-9**, whereby CQIM, Wabush Resources, Wabush Iron and Arnaud Railway (collectively, the **Port Vendors**) agreed to sell to Investissement Québec (together with Société ferroviaire et portuaire de Pointe-Noire s.e.c., its subsequent assignee pursuant to an agreement dated January 29, 2016, the **Port Purchaser**), substantially all of the assets, with the exception of certain excluded assets, of the Port Vendors relating to the Pointe-Noire Plant, the port facility located in the Bay of Sept-Îles (the **Pointe-Noire Port Facility**), and the Arnaud railway (collectively, the **Port Assets**), the whole as appears from the Approval and Vesting Order dated February 1, 2016 issued with respect to the Port Assets (the **Port Approval and Vesting Order**), communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-10**;
  - b) An Asset Purchase Agreement dated as of January 26, 2016, a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-11**, whereby Wabush Resources and Wabush Iron (the **Block Z Vendors**) agreed to sell to Administration Portuaire de Sept-Îles / Sept-Îles Port Authority (the **Block Z Purchaser**), the immovable property known as "Block Z" located near the Pointe-Noire Port Facility, the whole as appears from the Approval and Vesting Order dated February 1, 2016 issued with respect to Block Z (the **Block Z Approval and Vesting Order**), communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-12**;
19. The Port Approval and Vesting Order (R-10) and the Block Z Approval and Vesting Order (R-12) provided for the vesting of the assets on a free and clear basis, with the net proceeds from both transactions to stand in "the place and stead" of the Port Assets and the Block Z, respectively:
- ORDERS that for the purposes of determining the nature and priority of the Encumbrances, the balance of the Proceeds remaining following deduction for applicable Cure Costs (if any) and Transfer Taxes (if any is payable) that are remitted by the Monitor pursuant to Paragraph 10 of this Order (the "Net Proceeds") shall stand in the place and stead of the Purchased Assets, and that upon the issuance of the Certificate, all Encumbrances except for the Permitted Encumbrances shall attach to the Net Proceeds with the same priority as they had with respect to the Purchased Assets immediately prior to the Closing, as if the Purchased Assets had not been sold and remained in the possession or control of the person having that possession or control immediately prior to the Closing.
- [Para. 21 of the Port Approval and Vesting Order and para. 19 of the Block Z Approval and Vesting Order. Emphasis added.]
20. The total outstanding amount owing to the Interim Lender under the Interim Financing Documents (as defined in the Port Approval and Vesting Order) was repaid by the Monitor using the proceeds of the sale of the Port Assets, as contemplated in the Port Approval and Vesting Order (R-10);

### III. DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS AND CONTRIBUTIONS

#### A. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

21. Two of the Pensions Plans in place for the CCAA Parties' Employees contained defined benefit schemes:
- a) A hybrid pension plan for salaried employees at the Wabush Mine and the Pointe-Noire Port hired before January 1, 2013, known as the Contributory Pension Plan for Salaried Employees of Wabush Mines, Cliffs Mining Company, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company and Wabush Lake Railway Company, Limited, registered with the Newfoundland & Labrador Superintendent of Pensions (**the N&L Superintendent**) under member 021314 and the Canada Revenue Agency under number 0343558, as amended and restated effective as of January 1, 1997, together with subsequent amendments thereto<sup>1</sup>, communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-23 (the Salaried DB Plan)**, which included both defined benefit and defined contribution components [...]; and
  - b) A pension plan for unionized hourly employees at the Wabush Mine and the Pointe-Noire Port, known as the Pension Plan for Bargaining Unit Employees of Wabush Mines, Cliffs Mining Company, Managing Agent, Arnaud Railway Company, [...] Wabush Lake Railway Company, Limited, registered with the Newfoundland & Labrador Superintendent of Pensions under number 024699, the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions of Canada (OSFI) under number 57777, and the Canada Revenue Agency under number 0555201, as amended and restated effective as of March 1, 1996, together with subsequent amendments thereto<sup>2</sup>, communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-24 (the Union DB Plan)**, and together with the Salaried Pension Plan, the **DB Plans**;

both of which were administered by Wabush Mines (the **Plan Administrator**), until the DB Plans were terminated in December 2015. The Plan Administrator was subsequently replaced by Morneau Shepell Ltd. (the **Replacement Plan Administrator**), the whole as further detailed herein below;

22. [...]

23. [...]

24. On December 15, 2015, the Wabush CCAA Parties received two notices from the [...] N&L Superintendent announcing the termination, effective as of that date, of both DB Plans (the **N&L Termination Notices**), as appears from the copy of said notices, communicated herewith *en liasse* as **Exhibit R-13**;

---

<sup>1</sup> It would appear that the amendments were only received by the N&L Superintendent on July 30, 2015.

<sup>2</sup> It would appear that the amendments were only received by the N&L Superintendent on July 30, 2015.



25. In the N&L Termination Notice (R-13), the N&L Superintendent noted the following:
- a) The Wabush CCAA Parties had discontinued or were in the process of discontinuing all of their business operations within the meaning of Section 59(1)(b) PBA; and
  - b) The N&L Superintendent was of the opinion that the DB Plans had failed to meet the solvency requirements prescribed by the applicable regulations within the meaning of Section 59(1)(d) PBA;
26. Also on December 15, 2015, the Wabush CCAA Parties received a notice from [...] OSFI, declaring the termination, effective as of that date, of the Union DB Plan (the **OSFI Termination Notice**, and collectively with the N&L Termination Notices, the **Termination Notices**), as appears from a copy of the OSFI Termination Notice, communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-14**;
27. In the OSFI Termination Notice (R-14), OSFI noted the following:
- a) Special payments had been suspended in the CCAA Proceedings;
  - b) The Wabush Mine had been shut down and substantially all the Wabush CCAA Parties' employees had been terminated;
  - c) OSFI was of the opinion that the DB Plans had failed to meet the prescribed tests and standards for solvency under the PBA;
  - d) There had been a cessation of crediting of benefits to plan members;
28. In the Termination Notices (R-13 and R-14), both OSFI and the N&L Superintendent indicated that the Wabush CCAA Parties were required to pay into the pension funds all amounts that would have been required to be paid to meet the prescribed solvency requirements, as well as the amounts necessary to fund the benefits provided for in the DB Plans. Both OSFI and the N&L Superintendent of Pensions also took the position that a deemed trust had arisen in respect of such amounts;
29. On March 30, 2016, upon written requests by the Wabush CCAA Parties, OSFI and the N&L Superintendent appointed the Replacement Pension Plan Administrator in respect to both DB Plans, as appears from the three notices received from OSFI and the N&L Superintendent, communicated herewith *en liasse* as **Exhibit R-15**;

## **B. Employer Contributions**

### **(i) Normal Costs**

30. The normal cost payments were made to the [...] DB Plans by the Wabush CCAA Parties based on the actuarial reports prepared by Towers Watson Canada Inc. (as it then was, now Willis Towers Watson, hereinafter **Towers Watson**) in its capacity as consultant to the Plan Administrator [...] prior to the appointment of the Replacement Pension Plan Administrator;

31. The normal cost payments with respect to the Salaried DB Plan were fully paid as of the Wabush Initial Order, and were in fact overpaid in the amount of \$169,961 as of December 15, 2015, the date of the termination of the Salaried DB Plan, as appears from the summary table with respect to the Salaried DB Plan prepared by the Replacement Pension Plan Administrator (the **Salaried DB Plan Summary**), a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-16**;
32. The normal cost payments with respect to the Union DB Plan were fully paid as of the Wabush Initial Order and continued to be paid up until December 15, 2015, the date of the termination of the Union DB Plan, (including a payment of \$ 22,893 for December 2015 being the amount for the month prorated to the Union DB Plan termination date), as appears from the summary table with respect to the Union DB Plan prepared by the Replacement Pension Plan Administrator (the **Union DB Plan Summary**), communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-17**. It is noted that the Salaried DB Plan Summary and the Union DB Plan Summary appear to have rounding errors in the some of the totals shown thereon;

(ii) **Special Payments**

33. As appears from Section 2 of the Salaried DB Plan Summary (R-16):
  - a) The special payments with respect to the Salaried DB Plan required to be paid prior to the date of the Wabush Initial Order were paid in full except for \$3;
  - b) One special payment in the amount of \$273,218 was paid after the date of the Wabush Initial Order and before the granting of the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7), which payment constituted an underpayment of \$1;
  - c) The special payments required to be paid after the date of the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7) , and which, in conformity with the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7), were not paid, amount to \$ 2,185,752;

the whole based on a Towers Watson actuarial report dated September 12, 2014 for actuarial valuation as at January 1, 2014;

34. As appears from Section 2 of the Union DB Plan Summary (R-17):
  - a) The special payments with respect to the Union DB Plan required to be paid prior to the date of the Wabush Initial Order were underpaid in the amount of \$146,776;
  - b) One special payment in the amount of \$393,337 was paid after the date of the Wabush Initial Order and before the granting of the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7), which payment constituted an overpayment of \$16,308;
  - c) The special payments required to be paid after the date of the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7), and which, in conformity with the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7), were not paid, amount to \$3,016,232;

the whole based on a Towers Watson actuarial report dated September 12, 2014 for actuarial valuation as at January 1, 2014;

**(iii) Catch-Up Special Payments**

35. In the Wabush Comeback Motion (R-6), the Wabush CCAA Parties indicated that lump sum "catch up" special payments (each, a **Catch-Up Payment**) were estimated to be approximately \$5.5 million for both DB Plans and would become payable as of July 2015 (at paragraph 88);
36. Subsequently, the Wabush CCAA Parties determined that no such Catch-Up Payment was due in respect of the Salaried DB Plan;
37. The Catch-Up Payment in respect of the Union DB Plan for its part was revised and estimated to be approximately \$1.9 million;
38. In fact, pursuant to a Towers Watson actuarial report dated July 1, 2015 for an actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2015, which only became available after the issuance of the Wabush Initial Order, additional special payments in the aggregate amount of \$3,525,120 were required with respect to the Union DB Plan, as appears from the Union DB Plan Summary (R-17);
39. As also appears from Section 3 thereof (R-17), these additional special payments with respect to the Union DB Plan were payable by way of a Catch-Up Payment of \$1,762,560 due August 26, 2015, and thereafter in additional special payments payable in six monthly instalments of \$293,760 starting August 30, 2015;
40. None of these monthly additional special payments were paid or kept separate and apart from their own moneys by the Wabush CCAA Parties, nor was any Catch-Up Payment made (or kept separate and apart by the Wabush CCAA Parties from their own moneys) with respect to the Union DB Plan, the whole as contemplated and authorized by the Pension Priority and Suspension Order (R-7);

**(iv) Wind-Up Deficiencies**

41. In the Wabush Comeback Motion (at paragraph 83), based on estimates received from Towers Watson, the Wabush CCAA Parties estimated the wind-up deficits to be approximately \$18.2 million for the Salaried DB Plan and \$23.3 million for the Union DB Plan;
42. [...] The Replacement Pension Plan Administrator [...] later informed the Monitor that it [...] expected the wind-up deficits as at December 16, 2015, to be approximately \$26.7 million for the Salaried DB Plan and \$27.7 million for the Union DB Plan;
- 42.1 In December 2016, Morneau Shepell filed a report titled "Wind-Up Actual Valuation as at December 16, 2015" in respect of the Salaried DB Plan (the Salaried DB Plan Wind-Up Report), a copy of which is communicated herewith as Exhibit R-25;
- 42.2 Based on the Salaried DB Plan Wind-Up Report (R-25), the financial position of the Salaried DB Plan as of December 16, 2015 presented a wind-up deficit of \$27.45 million, as appears from page 3 thereof;

- 42.3 On December 14, 2016, Towers Watson filed a report titled "Plan Termination as at December 16, 2015" in respect of the Union DB Plan (the **Union DB Plan Wind-Up Report** and together with the Salaried DB Plan Wind-Up Report, the **Wind-Up Reports**)<sup>3</sup>, a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-26**;
- 42.4 Based on the Union DB Plan Wind-Up Report (R-26), the financial position of the Union DB Plan as of December 16, 2015 presented a wind-up deficit of \$27,486,548, as appears from pages 8 and 9 thereof. This calculation does not account for the benefits covered by Section 17 PBSA, which is qualified as "Priority no. 2" ranking after the wind-up deficit and would represent an additional wind-up liability of \$2,349,912, as appears from pages 4 and 10 of the Union DB Plan Wind-Up Report;

**(v) Summary of Amounts Owing**

43. In summary and based on the foregoing, the amounts owing to the [...] DB Plans based on payment due date are as follows:

	Salaried DB Plan	Union DB Plan
<b>Normal Cost Payments</b>		
Pre-filing	\$0	\$0
Post-Filing	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Special Payments</b>		
Pre-filing	\$3	\$146,776
Post-Filing	\$2,185,753	\$2,999,924
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,185,756</b>	<b>\$3,146,700</b>
<b>Catch-up Special Payments</b>		
Pre-filing	\$0	\$0
Post-Filing	\$0	\$3,525,120
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$3,525,120</b>
<b>[...] <u>Wind-Up Deficits</u></b>	<b><u>\$27,450,000</u></b>	<b><u>\$27,486,548</u></b> <sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Both Wind-up Reports remain subject to review and approval by the pension regulators.

<sup>4</sup> Excluding the additional wind-up deficit in the amount of \$ 2,349,912 (see para. 42.4 above).

#### IV. PENSION CLAIMS

44. The Claims Procedure Order (R-2) provides for specific procedures with respect to Pension Claims, as follows:

[32] **ORDERS** that the Plan Administrator will have the sole authority to file Proofs of Claim with respect to any and all Pension Claims.

[32.1] **ORDERS** that the Monitor shall provide to the Pension Regulator and the Representatives' Counsel a copy of each Proof of Claim filed in respect of the Salaried Pension Plan and details of any determination by the Monitor of a Pension Claim in respect of the Salaried Pension Plan.

[32.2] **ORDERS** that the Monitor shall provide to the Pension Regulator and the USW a copy of each Proof of Claim filed in respect of the Union Pension Plan and details of any determination by the Monitor of a Pension Claim in respect of the Union Pension Plan.

[...]

[38.1] **ORDERS** that the Pension Regulator and the Representatives' Counsel may file a Notice of Dispute with respect to any determination by the Monitor of a Pension Claim in respect of the Salaried Pension Plan, including for the purpose of asserting any trust claims in respect of the Salaried Pension Plan, and if no Notice of Dispute is filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of receipt of the Monitor's notice of its determination of a Pension Claim in respect of the Salaried Pension Plan such determination shall be deemed to be the Allowed Claim. If a Notice of Dispute is filed by the Pension Regulator or the Representatives' Counsel within the time specified herein, paragraphs 37 and 46 to 51 hereof shall apply *mutatis mutandi*.

[38.2] **ORDERS** that the Pension Regulator and the USW may file a Notice of Dispute with respect to any determination by the Monitor of a Pension Claim in respect of the Union Pension Plan, including for the purpose of asserting any trust claims in respect of the Union Pension Plan, and if no Notice of Dispute is filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of receipt of the Monitor's notice of its determination of a Pension Claim in respect of the Union Pension Plan such determination shall be deemed to be the Allowed Claim. If a Notice of Dispute is filed by the Pension Regulator or the USW within the time specified herein, paragraphs 37 and 46 to 51 hereof shall apply *mutatis mutandi*.

[38.3] **ORDERS** that the Pension Regulator and the Representatives' Counsel shall be given written notice by the Monitor of, and are entitled to participate in (i) any hearing before a Claims Officer concerning a Pension Claim in respect of the Salaried Pension Plan and (ii) any hearing before the Court concerning a Pension Claim in respect of the Salaried Pension Plan.

[38.4] **ORDERS** that the Pension Regulator and the USW shall be given written notice by the Monitor of, and are entitled to participate in (i) any hearing before a Claims Officer concerning a Pension Claim in respect of the Union Pension Plan and (ii) any hearing before the Court concerning a Pension Claim in respect of the Union Pension Plan. [Emphasis added]

45. On December 18, 2015, the Plan Administrator filed, in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order (R-2), Proofs of Claim with respect to each of the DB Plans, as follows:
- a) With respect to the Salaried DB Plan, (i) a secured Claim in the amount of \$24,000,000 against Wabush Mines, Arnaud Railway and Wabush Railway (for

the wind-up deficit), and (ii) a Restructuring Claim in the amount of \$1,932,940 against Wabush Mines, Arnaud Railway and Wabush Railway (for unpaid special payments), the whole as appears from said Proof of Claim (in the amount finally determined in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, the **Salaried DB Plan Claim**), a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-18**; and

- b) With respect to the Union DB Plan, (i) a secured Claim in the amount of \$29,000,000 against Wabush Mines, Arnaud Railway and Wabush Railway (for the wind-up deficit), and (ii) a Restructuring Claim in the amount of \$6,059,238 against Wabush Mines, Arnaud Railway and Wabush Railway (for unpaid special payments), the whole as appears from said Proof of Claim (in the amount finally determined in accordance with the Claims Procedure Order, the **Union DB Plan Claim**), a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-19**;

## V. APPLICABLE STATUTORY REGIME

46. [...]

46.1 As noted above, the DB Plans are registered with OSFI and/or the N&L Superintendent;

46.2 The PBSA applies to pension plans providing benefits to employees and retirees employed in "included employment", which in turn is defined as work, undertaking of business that falls within the legislation authority of the Parliament of Canada, including navigation and shipping and extra-provincial railways, the whole as provided for in Section 4 PBSA:

**4 (1)** This Act applies in respect of pension plans.

**(2)** In this Act, pension plan means a superannuation or other plan organized and administered to provide pension benefits to employees employed in included employment (and former employees) and to which the employer is required under or in accordance with the plan to contribute [...]

**(4)** In this Act, included employment means employment, other than excepted employment, on or in connection with the operation of any work, undertaking or business that is within the legislative authority of the Parliament of Canada, including, without restricting the generality of the foregoing,

(a) any work, undertaking or business operated or carried on for or in connection with navigation and shipping, whether inland or maritime, including the operation of a ship and transportation by ship anywhere in Canada;

(b) any railway, canal, telegraph or other work or undertaking connecting a province with another province or extending beyond the limits of a province [...]

**(6)** The Governor in Council may make regulations excepting from included employment [...]

(b) any other employment if the Governor in Council, on a report of the Minister, is satisfied that

(i) provision has been made for the coverage of employees employed in that employment under the terms of a pension plan that is organized and administered for the benefit primarily of employees employed in other than included employment and that is required to be registered under the law of a designated province [...] [Emphasis added.]

- 46.3 No regulation exempting the DB Plans from the application of the PBSA were adopted pursuant to Subsection 4(6)(b) above;
- 46.4 The PBA applies to pension plans for persons employed in Newfoundland & Labrador, except those to which an Act of the Parliament of Canada applies, as provided for in Section 5 PBA:
5. This Act applies to all pension plans for persons employed in the province [of Newfoundland & Labrador], except those pension plans to which an Act of the Parliament of Canada applies.
- 46.5 Subsection 2(ee) PBA defines "province of employment" as "the province where an employee reports for work, but if the employee is not required to report for work, the province where an employer's establishment is located from which an employee's remuneration is paid";
- 46.6 The SPPA applies to pension plans provided for employees who report for work at an establishment of their employer located in Québec, as provided for in Section 1 thereof:
1. This Act applies to pension plans provided
- (1) for employees who report for work at an establishment of their employer located in Québec or, if not, who receive their remuneration from such an establishment, provided, in the latter case, they do not report for work at any other establishment of their employer;
- (2) for employees not referred to in paragraph 1 who, while residing in Québec and being employed by an employer whose main establishment is located in Québec, work outside Québec, provided the plans are not governed by an Act of a legislative body other than the Parliament of Québec which provides for a deferred pension.
- 46.7 The Salaried DB Plan is comprised of 656 members, approximately half of which were employed in the province of Québec, with the other half in Newfoundland & Labrador<sup>5</sup>;
- 46.8 The Union DB Plan is comprised of 1732 members, the majority of which are in the province of Newfoundland & Labrador;
- 46.9 Following the termination of the Salaried DB Plan, 14 of its members were found to be subject to federal legislation as a result of the nature of their functions, as explained at page 4 of the Salaried DB Plan Wind-Up Report (R-25)<sup>6</sup>;
- 46.10 As for the Union DB Plan, it would appear that 55 of its 1732 members are governed by federal jurisdiction as a result of the nature of their functions;
- 46.11 Based on the foregoing and the information found in the Wind-Up Reports (R-25 and R-26), the members of both DB Plans appear to be subject to the following jurisdictions:

---

<sup>5</sup> As noted in Appendix C of the Salaried DB Plan Wind-Up Report (R-25, at page 19), the membership data is currently under review and remains subject to change.

<sup>6</sup> See note 3 above with respect to membership data.

	Salaried DB Plan <sup>7</sup>	Union DB Plan	TOTAL
Newfoundland & Labrador PBA	313	1005	1318
Québec SPPA	329	661	990
Federal PBSA	14	66	80
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>656</b>	<b>1732</b>	<b>2388</b>

46.12 Sections 6.1 PBSA, 8(2) PBA and 249 SPPA each provide for the entering into of multilateral agreements as between the federal government and that of provinces with a view to determine, *inter alia*, the legislative regime applicable to multi-jurisdictional pension plans;

#### **V.1 DEEMED TRUSTS**

46.13 The PBSA, the PBA and the SPPA all include provisions with respect to deemed trusts applicable under certain circumstances with respect to unpaid pension contributions;

#### **A. PBSA**

47. Section 8(1) of the PBSA requires an employer to segregate funds from its own moneys, including for certain types of payments owing to the pension fund, and further provides that a trust is deemed to have arisen with respect to said funds for the benefit of the pension members:

**8 (1)** An employer shall ensure, with respect to its pension plan, that the following amounts are kept separate and apart from the employer's own moneys, and the employer is deemed to hold the amounts referred to in paragraphs (a) to (c) in trust for members of the pension plan, former members, and any other persons entitled to pension benefits under the plan:

- (a) the moneys in the pension fund,
- (b) an amount equal to the aggregate of the following payments that have accrued to date:
  - (i) the prescribed payments, and
  - (ii) the payments that are required to be made under a workout agreement; and
- (c) all of the following amounts that have not been remitted to the pension fund:
  - (i) amounts deducted by the employer from members' remuneration, and
  - (ii) other amounts due to the pension fund from the employer, including any amounts that are required to be paid under subsection 9.14(2) or 29(6).

[Emphasis added.]

---

<sup>7</sup> See note 3 above with respect to membership data.



48. Section 8(2) PBSA provides that the amounts deemed to be held in trust pursuant to Section 8(1) shall not form part of the estate of the employer upon in the event of its liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy:

**(2)** In the event of any liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy of an employer, an amount equal to the amount that by subsection (1) is deemed to be held in trust shall be deemed to be separate from and form no part of the estate in liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy, whether or not that amount has in fact been kept separate and apart from the employer's own moneys or from the assets of the estate.

[Emphasis added.]

49. Section 29 PBSA permits OSFI to declare the whole or part of a pension plan terminated in certain circumstances, and further provides for payments by the employer into the pension fund upon termination:

**29 [...]** **(2)** The Superintendent may declare the whole or part of a pension plan terminated where

**(a)** there is any suspension or cessation of employer contributions in respect of all or part of the plan members;

**(b)** the employer has discontinued or is in the process of discontinuing all of its business operations or a part thereof in which a substantial portion of its employees who are members of the pension plan are employed; or

**(c)** the Superintendent is of the opinion that the pension plan has failed to meet the prescribed tests and standards for solvency in respect of funding referred to in subsection 9(1).

**(2.1)** The Superintendent may also declare the whole of a pension plan terminated if there is a cessation of crediting of benefits to the plan members.

**(3)** In a declaration made under subsection (2) or (2.1), the Superintendent shall declare a pension plan or part of a pension plan, as the case may be, to be terminated as of the date that the Superintendent considers appropriate in the circumstances.

[...]

**(6)** If the whole of a pension plan is terminated, the employer shall, without delay, pay into the pension fund all amounts that would otherwise have been required to be paid to meet the prescribed tests and standards for solvency referred to in subsection 9(1) and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the employer shall pay into the pension fund

**(a)** an amount equal to the normal cost that has accrued to the date of the termination;

**(b)** the amounts of any prescribed special payments that are due on termination or would otherwise have become due between the date of the termination and the end of the plan year in which the pension plan is terminated;

**(c)** the amounts of payments that are required to be made under a workout agreement that are due on termination or would otherwise have become due between the date of the termination and the end of the plan year in which the pension plan is terminated;

**(d)** all of the following amounts that have not been remitted to the pension fund

at the date of the termination:

- (i) the amounts deducted by the employer from members' remuneration, and
  - (ii) other amounts due to the pension fund from the employer; and
- (e) the amounts of all of the payments that are required to be made under subsection 9.14(2).

[...]

**(6.4)** On the winding-up of the pension plan or the liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy of the employer, the amount required to permit the plan to satisfy any obligations with respect to pension benefits as they are determined on the date of termination is payable immediately.

**(6.5)** Subsection 8(1) does not apply in respect of the amount that the employer is required to pay into the pension fund under subsection (6.4). However, it applies in respect of any payments that have accrued before the date of the winding-up, liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy and that have not been remitted to the fund in accordance with the regulations made for the purposes of subsection (6.1). [...]

## B. PBA

50. The PBA contains similar provisions to those described above in respect of the PBSA. Section 32 PBA deems a trust to come into existence under certain circumstances:

**32 (1)** An employer or a participating employer in a multi-employer plan shall ensure, with respect to a pension plan, that

- (a) the money in the pension fund;
- (b) an amount equal to the aggregate of
  - (i) the normal actuarial cost, and
  - (ii) any special payments prescribed by the regulations, that have accrued to date; and

(c) all

- (i) amounts deducted by the employer from the member's remuneration, and
- (ii) other amounts due under the plan from the employer that have not been remitted to the pension fund are kept separate and apart from the employer's own money, and shall be considered to hold the amounts referred to in paragraphs (a) to (c) in trust for members, former members, and other persons with an entitlement under the plan.

**(2)** In the event of a liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy of an employer, an amount equal to the amount that under subsection (1) is considered to be held in trust shall be considered to be separate from and form no part of the estate in liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy, whether or not that amount has in fact been kept separate and apart from the employer's own money or from the assets of the estate.

**(3)** Where a pension plan is terminated in whole or in part, an employer who is required to pay contributions to the pension fund shall hold in trust for the member or former member or other person with an entitlement under the plan an amount of money equal to employer contributions due under the plan to the date of termination.

**(4)** An administrator of a pension plan has a lien and charge on the assets of the employer in an amount equal to the amount required to be held in trust

under subsections (1) and (3).

51. Sections 59 PBA sets out the circumstances in which the N&L Superintendent may declare a plan to be terminated;

**59 (1)** The superintendent may declare the whole or part of a pension plan terminated where

(a) there is a suspension or cessation of employer contributions in respect of all or part of the plan membership, except where surplus is used to meet funding requirements;

(b) the employer has discontinued or is in the process of discontinuing all of its business operation or a part in which a substantial portion of its employees who are members of the plan are employed;

(c) the employer is bankrupt within the meaning of the *Bankruptcy Act* (Canada);

(d) the superintendent is of the opinion that the plan has failed to meet the requirements prescribed by the regulations for solvency in respect of funding; or

(e) all or part of the business or assets of a predecessor employer's business are sold, assigned or otherwise disposed of and the successor employer who acquired the business or assets does not provide a pension plan for the members of the predecessor employer's plan who become employees of the successor employer.

(2) A declaration made under subsection (1) shall declare the whole or part of a pension plan to be terminated as of a date determined by the superintendent.

52. The wind-up of a pension plan commences immediately after the termination of the plan unless the N&L Superintendent postpones the wind-up by giving written approval, pursuant to Section 60(3) PBA;

53. Section 61 PBA provides for certain termination payments as follows:

**61 (1)** On termination of a pension plan, the employer shall pay into the pension fund all amounts that would otherwise have been required to be paid to meet the requirements prescribed by the regulations for solvency, including

(a) an amount equal to the aggregate of

(i) the normal actuarial cost, and

(ii) special payments prescribed by the regulations, that have accrued to the date of termination; and

(b) all

(i) amounts deducted by the employer from members' remuneration, and

(ii) other amounts due to the pension fund from the employer

that have not been remitted to the pension fund at the date of termination.

(2) Where, on the termination, after April 1, 2008, of a pension plan, other than a multi-employer pension plan, the assets in the pension fund are less than the value of the benefits provided under the plan, the employer shall, as prescribed by the regulations, make the payments into the pension fund, in addition to the payments required under subsection (1), that are necessary to fund the benefits provided under the plan.

### C. SPPA

53.1 The only deemed trust provided for under the SPPA is that found in Section 49 thereof with respect to unpaid contributions and accrued interest:

49. Until contributions and accrued interest are paid into the pension fund or to the insurer, they are deemed to be held in trust by the employer, whether or not the latter has kept them separate from his property.

53.2 In addition, Section 264 SPPA provides that contributions payable into the pension fund are unassignable and unseizable:

264. Unless otherwise provided by law, the following amounts or contributions are unassignable and unseizable:

(1) all contributions paid or payable into the pension fund or to the insurer, with accrued interest; [...]

53.3 With respect to the employer's obligations upon termination of a pension plan, Sections 228-230 SPPA provides:

#### §4 – Debts of the employer

228. The amount to be funded to ensure full payment of the benefits of the members or beneficiaries affected by the withdrawal of an employer from a multi-employer pension plan or the termination of a pension plan shall constitute a debt of the employer. The amount to be funded shall be established at the date of termination.

If, at the date of termination, the employer has failed to pay contributions into the pension fund or to the insurer, as the case may be, the debt shall be the amount by which the amount to be funded exceeds such contributions. [...]

229. Any amount owed by an employer under section 228 must, upon its determination, be paid into the pension fund or to the insurer, as the case may be. However, Retraite Québec may, on the conditions it determines, allow any employer to spread the payment of such amount over a period of not more than five years.

Any amount not paid into the pension fund or to the insurer shall bear interest from the date of default, at the rate determined pursuant to section 61 that was applicable at the date of termination.

230. Any amount paid by an employer under this subdivision, including any amount recovered after the date of termination, particularly in respect of contributions outstanding and unpaid at the date of termination, shall be applied to the payment of benefits of members or beneficiaries in the order of priority established under this Act.

such that the termination deficit, if any, is a debt of the employer and not a "contribution" subject to a deemed trust;

#### **D. SUMMARY OF AVAILABLE DEEMED TRUSTS**

54. The [...] PBSA and PBA provisions set out above provide for two types of deemed trust:
- (1) a trust that is deemed to exist while the employer continues in business and that covers amounts that the employer is required to keep separate and apart from its own moneys (Sections 8(1) PBSA and 32(1) PBA, hereinafter referred to as **limited deemed trusts**); and
  - (2) a trust that arises in the event of any liquidation, assignment or bankruptcy of an employer and that covers amounts that the employer is required to keep separate and apart from its own moneys, whether or not the amounts have in fact been kept separate and apart from the employer's own moneys or assets (Sections 8(2) PBSA and 32(2) PBA, hereinafter referred to as **liquidation deemed trusts**);
55. In the case at hand, OSFI and the N&L Superintendent issued the Termination Notices (R-13 and R-14) with respect to the DB Plans after the CCAA Proceedings had commenced;

#### **V.2 MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL AGREEMENTS AND CONFLICT OF LAWS**

56. While the assets of the Wabush CCAA Parties have not been fully realized to date, the Court may need to consider whether any eventual shortfall between the sale proceeds of the Wabush CCAA Parties' assets in Newfoundland and the amounts potentially duly secured by a pension deemed trust created under the PBA could possibly extend to the sale proceeds of the Wabush CCAA Parties' assets formerly located in Quebec;
57. Should it determine that the amounts potentially duly secured by a pension deemed trust created under the PBA exceed the value of sale proceeds generated from assets located in Newfoundland, this Court will need to consider applicable conflict rules so as to determine whether the applicable pension deemed trust under the PBA could extend to the sale proceeds of assets formally located in Quebec;
58. Under the general conflict rules in Quebec, real rights and by extension priority disputes over property are governed by the laws where the property is located, subject to an exception for property in transit (3097 C.c.Q.);
59. The Province of Quebec is also party to certain multi-jurisdictional agreements in relation to pension matters that may provide in certain circumstances for the application of laws of another jurisdiction by way of incorporation where the Quebec government has agreed to do so and its supervisory authority has delegated its authority to the supervisory authority of another jurisdiction;
60. In 2011, the Canadian Association of Pension Supervisory Authorities (**CAPSA**) developed an Agreement Respecting Multi-Jurisdictional Pension Plans (the **2011 Agreement**), which was adopted by the Provinces of Ontario and Quebec, a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-20**;

61. CAPSA also developed in 2016 a revised version thereof (the **2016 Agreement**), which was adopted by the Provinces of British Columbia, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Quebec and Saskatchewan, a copy of which is communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-21**;

62. These 2011 and 2016 Agreements (R-20 and R-21) provide *inter alia* that:

**6 (1)** While a pension supervisory authority is the major authority for a pension plan in accordance with this Agreement:

(a) the provisions of the pension legislation of the major authority's jurisdiction in respect of matters referred to in Schedule B<sup>1</sup> apply to the plan instead of those of the corresponding provisions of the pension legislation of any minor authority's jurisdiction that would apply to the plan if this Agreement did not exist; and

(b) subject to the provisions of this Agreement, the provisions of the pension legislation of each jurisdiction that are applicable to the plan under the terms of such legislation apply to the plan in respect of matters not referred to in Schedule B.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Schedule B states: "8. Legislative provisions respecting: [...] (c) requirements that the pension fund be held separate and apart from the employer's assets and deeming the pension fund to be held in trust for the active members or other persons; (d) an administrator's lien and charge on the employer's assets equal to the amounts deemed held in trust [...]".

63. However, Newfoundland & Labrador is not a party to the 2011 and 2016 Agreements (R-20 and R-21);

64. The only applicable multi-jurisdictional agreement between the governments of Quebec and Newfoundland & Labrador is a Memorandum of Agreement<sup>8</sup>, to which the government of Newfoundland & Labrador became a party in 1986, communicated herewith as **Exhibit R-22**;

65. The Memorandum of Agreement (R-22) does not provide for the incorporation and application of legislative provisions and administrative powers by the participating pension supervisory authorities, but merely provides for a certain delegation of powers as follows:

**2.** The major authority<sup>1</sup> for each plan shall exercise both its own statutory functions and powers and the statutory functions and powers of each minor authority for such plan.

[...]

**9.** Where a major authority is unable to exercise a particular power of enforcement available to one of the minor authorities, it shall so advise that minor authority.

<sup>1</sup> According to the Memorandum of Agreement (R-22), "major authority" means, with respect to a plan, the participating authority of the province where the plurality of the plan members are employed, excluding members employed in a province not having a participating authority.

---

<sup>8</sup> The Memorandum of Agreement (R-22) remains effective, as provided by Section 284 SPPA.

66. As such, the Memorandum of Agreement (R-22) could not serve as the basis for the application of the PBA in relation to property located in Quebec;
67. In view of the foregoing and absent a multi-jurisdictional agreement providing for the application in Quebec of the laws of Newfoundland & Labrador, it is submitted that this Court is bound to apply the laws applicable in the Province of Quebec to adjudicate a dispute with respect to tangible assets located in Québec (or the proceeds standing in their stead);
68. The Monitor notes Article 3079 of the *Civil Code of Québec*:

**3079.** Where legitimate and manifestly preponderant interests so require, effect may be given to a mandatory provision of the law of another State with which the situation is closely connected.

In deciding whether to do so, consideration is given to the purpose of the provision and the consequences of its application.

but is of the view that this exception is not applicable in the circumstances as the possible application of the PBA could have been properly achieved by way of a multi-jurisdictional agreement and absent the execution of the 2011 and 2016 Agreements (R-20 and R-21) by Newfoundland & Labrador it could not justify why its legislation should override Quebec law in the present circumstances, including Articles 2644 and 2647 C.c.Q.;

## **VI. DIRECTIONS WITH RESPECT TO PENSION CLAIMS**

69. Based on its review of the relevant statutes and applicable case-law, the Monitor is of the view that:
  - a) Unpaid and accrued normal costs or special costs owing at the date of the Wabush Initial Order would be subject to a limited deemed trust pursuant to subsections 8(1) of the PBSA and 32(1) of the PBA;
  - b) A liquidation deemed trust did not arise prior to or since the Wabush Initial Order pursuant to subsections 8(2) PBSA or 32(2) PBA, as none of the applicable triggering events, including a "liquidation", have occurred, either before or since the date of the Wabush Initial Order;
  - c) In any event, any liquidation deemed trust triggered after the Wabush Initial Order with respect to unpaid amortization payments as a result of a "liquidation" would be ineffective given the terms of the Wabush Initial Order and applicable stay thereunder, the terms of the Pension Priority and Suspension Order, the fact that the special costs were assessed on the basis of a deficit which existed as of the Wabush Initial Order and were calculated for past services rendered as of a pre-filing reference date, the treatment of special costs under the CCAA generally, and legislative choices made with respect to same;
  - d) As a matter of statutory interpretation of the applicable pension legislation alone, the full amount of the wind-up deficit of the DB Plans would not be subject to a pension deemed trust pursuant to the PBSA or the PBA;

- e) Even if the wind-up deficits of the DB Plans were to be subject to a pension deemed trust pursuant to the terms of PBSA or the PBA, such deemed trust would be ineffective considering the Wabush Initial Order and applicable stay thereunder, the pre-filing nature of deficits of the DB Plans even if crystalized post-filing upon termination of the DB Plans, the treatment of pension deficits under the CCAA and legislative choices made with respect to same;
  - f) Even if the deemed trusts under the PBA were to cover assets located outside of Newfoundland & Labrador, this Court should not recognize and enforce it to the extent applicable the PBA deemed trust against assets located in this Province or the sale proceeds thereof;
70. The Monitor accordingly seeks an Order determining the priority of the various components of the Salaried DB Plan Claim (R-18) and the Union DB Plan Claim (R-19) to be as follows:
- a) normal costs and special payments outstanding as at the date of the Wabush Initial Order to be subject to a limited deemed trust;
  - b) normal costs and special payments payable after the date of the Wabush Initial Order, including additional special payments and Catch Up Payments established on the basis of actuarial reports issued after the Wabush Initial Order to constitute an unsecured Claim;
  - c) wind-up deficiency to constitute an unsecured Claim;
  - d) any trust created pursuant to the PBA may only charge property located in Newfoundland & Labrador;
71. Pursuant to paragraphs 38.1 and following of the Claims Procedure Order (R-2), reproduced above, the Pension Regulators, Representatives' Counsel and well as USW are all entitled to challenge the adjudication of Pension Claims by the Monitor;
72. The Monitor fully expects that various other stakeholders will have an interest in the determination of these priority issues;
73. The Monitor submits that it is proper to seek and obtain directions at this stage in respect of questions outlined above. [...] The amounts and the membership data included herein, including the wind-up deficits, are based on the information appearing in the Wind-Up Reports and are provided solely as information, as it is not necessary to know the actual quantum of the Pension Claims in order to determine their relative priority in these CCAA Proceedings;
74. In any event, should a dispute over the quantum of the wind-up deficits or any other factual information affecting the quantum of the Pension Claims arise, that issue could easily (and efficiently) be bifurcated and resolved independently from the directions sought herein;
75. The Monitor further submits that any proposed distribution of proceeds to creditors, including the choice of the mechanism to effect same, will be impacted by the issues set out herein above;



76. Based on the foregoing, the Monitor hereby submits that the Court will need to deal with the following questions:

Liquidation giving rise to a liquidation deemed trust

- a) What is the proper meaning of "liquidation" pursuant to subsections 8(2) PBSA and 32(2) PBA?
- b) Did a "liquidation" within the meaning of subsections 8(2) PBSA and 32(2) PBA occur prior or since the Wabush Initial Order?
- c) Would such a liquidation deemed trust (...) be effective if triggered by a "liquidation" occurring after the Wabush Initial Order?

Deficit upon termination

- d) Absent CCAA or BIA proceedings with respect to an employer, could the full amount of the deficit upon termination of a defined benefit pension plan be subject to a deemed trust pursuant to either of the PBSA or the PBA?
- e) Would such a wind-up deficit deemed trust be effective if triggered by a termination occurring after the Wabush Initial Order?

Enforcement or recognition of a PBA deemed trust charging assets located in Québec

- f) Is the deemed trust arising under the PBA specifically or implicitly limited to assets of the employer located in Newfoundland & Labrador?
- g) Could this Court nonetheless recognize and enforce a PBA deemed trust against assets located in this Province (or the sale proceeds standing in their stead)?

**VII. CONCLUSIONS AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS**

77. The Monitor submits that the notices given of the presentation of the present Amended Motion, the initial iteration of which was originally notified to all Persons on the Service List on September 20, 2016, are proper and sufficient;
78. Pursuant to paragraph 56 of the Wabush Initial Order (R-1), all motions in these CCAA Proceedings are to be brought on no less than ten (10) calendar days' notice to all Persons on the Service List;
- 78.1 Following discussions amongst the Monitor and various interested parties, the Motion was first made returnable on a pro forma basis on October 28, 2016;
- 78.2 Prior to the October 28, 2016 hearing, the following Notices of Objection were filed:
- a) Notice of Objection dated October 7, 2016 filed by the USW;
  - b) Notice of Objection dated October 7, 2016 filed by the Representatives; and
  - c) Notice of Objection dated October 7, 2016 filed by the Replacement Plan Administrator;

the whole as appears from the Court record;

79. [...] Both before and after the October 28, 2016, the Monitor has made efforts in order [...] to agree to a timetable for the filing of materials and the presentation of the Motion with the CCAA Parties, Representative Counsel, the USW, the Replacement Plan Administrator and the relevant regulators that would allow relevant parties sufficient opportunity to respond and ensure the efficient hearing of the present Motion [...];
- 79.1 The N&L Superintendent went on to file a Notice of Objection on December 15, 2016, as appears from the Court record. While they have not filed a formal Notice of Objection, the Monitor also understands that OSFI and Retraite Québec intend to take position with respect to the issues raised in the Motion;
- 79.2 A hearing was held on December 20, 2016 to debate the preliminary issues raised in the Notices of Objection, mainly the jurisdictional argument raised by the Representatives as to whether the Court should refer parts or all of the questions arising in the Motion to the Supreme Court of Newfoundland & Labrador;
- 79.3 On January 30, 2017, the Court issued a ruling whereby it determined that it had jurisdiction to deal with all issues stemming from this Motion, including the interpretation of the PBA in the context of the CCAA Proceedings and therefore refused to refer the matter to the Supreme Court of Newfoundland & Labrador;
- 79.4 During a case management hearing held on April 5, 2017, hearing dates on the merits were set (June 28 and 29, 2017), with the Court reserving the right of all parties to submit their position concerning the legal issues this Court needed or ought to rule on to resolve the issues raised by the present Motion;
- 79.5 The service of the present Amended Motion serves as notice pursuant to [...] paragraph 56 of the Wabush Initial Order (R-1);
80. [...];
81. The CCAA Parties have been consulted by the Monitor and support the conclusions sought herein;
82. The present Motion is well founded in fact and in law.

**FOR THESE REASONS, MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT TO:**

**GRANT** the present Amended Motion;

**ISSUE** an Order [...] determining the various priority disputes and issues raised by the present Amended Motion;

**WITHOUT COST**, save and except in case of contestation.

Montréal, April 13, 2017



---

**NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT CANADA, LLP**  
Mtre Sylvain Rigaud and Mtre Chrystal Ashby  
Attorneys of the Monitor FTI Consulting Canada Inc.

Suite 2500 - 1 Place Ville Marie  
Montreal, Quebec H3B 1R1  
Telephone : (514) 847-4702 and (514) 847-6076  
Fax : (514) 514-286-5474  
[notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com)  
Our reference : 01028478-0001


**NOTICE OF PRESENTATION**

**TO: SERVICE LIST**

**TAKE NOTICE** that the present *Amended Motion by the Monitor for Directions with Respect to Pension Claims* will be presented for adjudication before the Honourable Stephen W. Hamilton, J.S.C., or another of the honourable judges of the Superior Court, Commercial Division, sitting in and for the district of Montréal, in the Montréal Courthouse located at 1, Notre-Dame Street East, Montréal, Québec, on a date, at a time and in a room to be determined by the Court.

**DO GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.**

Montréal, April 13, 2017



---

**NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT CANADA, LLP**  
Mtre Sylvain Rigaud and Mtre Chrystal Ashby  
Attorneys of the Monitor FTI Canada Consulting Inc.

Suite 2500 - 1 Place Ville Marie  
Montreal, Quebec H3B 1R1  
Telephone : (514) 847-4702 and (514) 847-6076  
Fax : (514) 514-286-5474  
[notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com)  
Our reference : 01028478-0001

CANADA

PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC  
DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL

N°: 500-11-048114-157

**SUPERIOR COURT**

Commercial Division

(Sitting as a court designated pursuant to the *Companies'  
Creditors Arrangement Act*, R.S.C., c. C-36, as amended)

**IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR  
ARRANGEMENT OF:**

**BLOOM LAKE GENERAL PARTNER LIMITED *et al***

Petitioners

-and-

**THE BLOOM LAKE IRON ORE MINE LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP *et al***

Mises-en-cause

-and-

**HER MAJESTY IN RIGHT OF NEWFOUNDLAND  
& LABRADOR, AS REPRESENTED BY THE  
SUPERINTENDENT OF PENSIONS**

**THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA, ACTING ON  
BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT  
OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

**MICHAEL KEEPER, TERENCE WATT, DAMIEN LABEL  
AND NEIL JOHNSON**

**UNITED STEEL WORKERS, LOCALS 6254 AND 6285  
RÉGIE DES RENTES DU QUÉBEC**

**MORNEAU SHEPELL LTD., IN ITS CAPACITY AS  
REPLACEMENT PENSION PLAN ADMINISTRATOR**

Mis-en-cause

-and-

**FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.**

Monitor

---

**AMENDED LIST OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF THE  
AMENDED MOTION BY THE MONITOR FOR DIRECTIONS  
WITH RESPECT TO PENSION CLAIMS**

---

- Exhibit R-1** Wabush Initial Order dated May 20, 2015, as rectified on May 28, 2015;
- Exhibit R-2** Claims Procedure Order dated November 5, 2015, as amended on November 16, 2015;
- Exhibit R-3** Draft Order;
- Exhibit R-4** Wabush Initial Motion dated May 19, 2015;
- Exhibit R-5** Wabush Comeback Order dated June 9, 2015;
- Exhibit R-6** Wabush Comeback Motion dated May 29, 2015;
- Exhibit R-7** Pension Priority and Suspension Order dated June 26, 2015;
- Exhibit R-8** Decision of Justice Kasirer, J.C.A. dated August 18, 2015;
- Exhibit R-9** Asset Purchase Agreement (Port Assets) dated December 23, 2015;
- Exhibit R-10** Port Approval and Vesting Order dated February 1, 2016;
- Exhibit R-11** Asset Purchase Agreement (Block Z) dated January 26, 2016;
- Exhibit R-12** Block Z Approval and Vesting Order dated February 1, 2016;
- Exhibit R-13** N&L Termination Notices dated December 15, 2015;
- Exhibit R-14** OSFI Termination Notice dated December 15, 2015;
- Exhibit R-15** Notices with respect to the Replacement of the Pension Plan Administrator dated March 30, 2016;
- Exhibit R-16** Salaried DB Plan Summary Table;
- Exhibit R-17** Union DB Plan Summary Table;
- Exhibit R-18** Salaried DB Plan Proof of Claim dated December 18, 2015;
- Exhibit R-19** Union DB Plan Proof of Claim dated December 18, 2015;
- Exhibit R-20** 2011 CAPSA Agreement Respecting Multi-Jurisdictional Pension Plans;
- Exhibit R-21** 2016 CAPSA Agreement Respecting Multi-Jurisdictional Pension Plans;
- Exhibit R-22** Memorandum of Agreement entered into by Newfoundland & Labrador in 1986;
- Exhibit R-23** Salaried DB Plan, together with Amendments;
- Exhibit R-24** Union DB Plan, together with Amendments;

**Exhibit R-25**      Salaried DB Plan Wind-Up Report;

**Exhibit R-26**      Union DB Plan Wind-Up Report.

Montréal, April 13, 2017

*Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP*

---

**NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT CANADA, LLP**  
Mtre Sylvain Rigaud and Mtre Chrystal Ashby  
Attorneys of the Monitor  
Suite 2500 - 1 Place Ville Marie  
Montreal, Quebec H3B 1R1  
Telephone : (514) 847-4702 and (514) 847-6076  
Telecopieur : (514) 514-286-5474  
[Notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:Notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com)  
Our reference : 01028478-0001

NO: 500-11-048114-157

SUPERIOR COURT  
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR  
ARRANGEMENT OF:

BLOOM LAKE GENERAL PARTNER LIMITED ET AL

Petitioners

-and-

THE BLOOM LAKE IRON ORE MINE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP  
ET AL.

Mises-en-cause

-and-

HER MAJESTY IN RIGHT OF NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR,  
AS REPRESENTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF PENSIONS  
ET AL.

Mis-en-cause

-and-

FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.

Monitor

AMENDED MOTION BY THE MONITOR FOR DIRECTIONS  
WITH RESPECT TO PENSION CLAIMS  
(Sections 11 and 23(k) of the *Companies' Creditors  
Arrangement Act*)

ORIGINAL

BO-0042

# 01028478-0001

Mtre. Sylvain Rigaud and Mtre. Chrystal Ashby

**NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT CANADA LLP**

BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

1 Place Ville Marie, Suite 2500

Montréal, Quebec H3B 1R1 CANADA

Telephone: 514-847-4702

Telephone: 514-847-6076

Fax: +1 514.286.5474

[Notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com](mailto:Notifications-mtl@nortonrosefulbright.com)